CMPS 4760/6760 Distributed Systems – Spring 21

## Homework 4 (15 points) Due 04/29/21 before class

1 (6 points) A server manages the objects  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ . The server provides two operations for its clients: (1) read(i) returns the value of  $a_i$  and (2) write(i, Value) assigns Value to  $a_i$ . Consider two transactions T and U defined as follows:

T: x = read(j); y = read(i); write(j, 44); write(i, 33);

U: x = read(k); write(i, 55); y = read(j); write(k, 66),

Give serially equivalent interleavings of T and U with the following properties:

- (a) that are strict;
- (b) that are not strict but could not produce dirty reads;
- (c) that could produce dirty reads.
- 2 (4 points) Explain why serial equivalence requires that once a transaction has released a lock on an object, it is not allowed to obtain any more locks. Illustrate your answer with the following transactions T and U:

T: x= read(i); write(j, 44); U: write(i,55); write(j, 66).

Describe an interleaving of T and U in which locks are released early with the effect that the interleaving is not serially equivalent.

3 (5 points) A three-phase commit protocol has the following parts:

Phase 1: Is the same as that for two-phase commit.

Phase 2: The coordinator collects the votes and makes a decision; if it is *No*, it aborts and informs participants that voted *Yes*; if the decision is *Yes*, it sends a *preCommit* request to all the participants. Participants that voted *Yes* wait for a *preCommit* or *doAbort* request. They acknowledge *preCommit* requests and carry out *doAbort* requests.

Phase 3: The coordinator collects the acknowledgments. When all are received, it *commits* and sends *doCommit* to the participants. Participants wait for a *doCommit* request. When it arrives they *commit*.

Explain how this protocol avoids delay to participants during their 'uncertain' period due to the failure of the coordinator or other participants. Assume that communication does not fail.